

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/064,533	07/24/2002	Luis Felipe Guglielmucci	8347			
26058	7590 10/20/2006		EXAMINER ,			
MICHAEL C. CESARANO			LANEAU, RONALD			
SUNTRUST 1 S.E. 3RD A		ENTER, 28TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MIAMI, FL 33131-1714			3714			

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/064,533		GUGLIELMUCCI, LUIS FELIPE				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Ronald Lanea	u	3714			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD IN LONGER, FROM THE IN LONGER, FROM THE IN LONGER AND A CONTROL OF THE INTERPOLATION OF THE INTER	MAILING DA as of 37 CFR 1.136 amunication. statutory period will by will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS (6(a). In no event, hill apply and will exp cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timing SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) fil	led on <i>27 Jul</i>	lv 2006					
2a)⊠ This action	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		action is non-f	inal.				
<u> </u>	, 							
<i>'</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 3	2-15 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4) Claim(s) 3-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	 2 <u>-15</u> is/are rejected.							
·	is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restri	iction and/or	election requi	rement.				
Application Papers			·					
·· _	cation is objected to by the	ne Evaminer						
·	ig(s) filed on is/are	_		shiected to by the F	Evaminer			
•	nay not request that any obje	-	· ·	· •				
	nt drawing sheet(s) includin					FR 1 121/d\		
	r declaration is objected t							
	2	io by the Exc				102.		
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
	gment is made of a claim]Some * c)⊟ None of:	n for foreign p	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents	have been re	ceived.				
2.☐ Ceri	tified copies of the priority	documents	have been re	ceived in Applicati	on No			
3.☐ Cop	ies of the certified copies	of the priori	ty documents	have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
арр	lication from the Internation	onal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).				
* See the atta	sched detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certified	copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference			4) [Interview Summary				
	son's Patent Drawing Review (د، ا	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P				
a) [] Information Disclos Paper No(s)/Mail D	sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Pate			Other:	ателт Аррисацоп			

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 12/7/05 has been entered. New claims 4-15 are added and claims 3-15 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moorer (US 6,904,152 B1).

As per claims 3-15, a business method for the sale of customized multichannel recording comprising: accepting an order from a customer to manufacture a customized multiple channel recording; obtaining a plurality of parameters related to a sound reproduction system used by said customer and an acoustic environment within which said sound reproduction system will be used, said plurality of parameters comprising the number of channels in said customer's sound reproduction system, the type of speaker attached to each said channel, the sound reproduction system characteristics of said sound reproduction system, characteristics of said acoustic environment characteristics within which said sound reproduction system will be used, said customer's preferences for the use of said customized multiple channel recording and for the content to be included on said recording and optional content available for the recording (see

abstract, col. 4, lines 30-65); retrieving a preexisting multiple synchronized channel master recording containing said preferred content from a source; creating said customized multiple channel recording having at least one channel corresponding to each channel of said customer's sound reproduction system (col. 5, line 40 to col. 6, line 63), each said at least one channel being created by mixing and combining individual channels from said preexisting channel master recording, said mixing and combining including the application of audio techniques comprising amplification, attenuation, phase correction, equalization, and filtering to fit said preferences defined by said customer; electronically assemble said customized multiple channel recording with other multimedia data related to said content or customer preferences; deliver said customized multiple channel recording to said customer (see fig. 3).

Moorer does not explicitly disclose a multichannel record by mixing and combining the source channels applying audio techniques including but not limited to amplification, attenuation, phase correction, equalization, and filtering and also determining a method of payment by said customer for the customized multiple channel recording but it is obvious that all audio techniques and sound reproduction would deal with some kind of attenuation, phase correction, equalization and filtering in order to achieve the maximum clarity in the delivery of a sound to a customer also improve considerably the sound quality and the sound image perceived by those who are sitting in the room where the sound is being reproduced.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,533

Art Unit: 3714

Applicant argues that Moorer does not disclose "a business system by which a plurality of customers may have the physical parameters of their sound systems and their personal acoustic tastes stored in a database, where they may later be recalled and superimposed upon any original master recording to create customized acoustic environments in accordance with each customer's preferences and tastes." In response to Applicant's arguments, the Examiner believes that the system of Moorer can actually create acoustic environment based on customer's preferences as claimed because Moorer discloses a multi-channel surround sound mastering and reproduction techniques. The techniques of making a recording of or transmitting a sound field from either multiple or directional sound signals that reproduce a sound field through multiple discrete loud speakers. The parameters involved in Moorer's system are set when a user is trying to reproduce a certain sound and therefore is adjusting the gain, the attenuation, the phase correction, etc. Claims 3-15 are finally rejected.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/064,533

Art Unit: 3714

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau Primary Examiner (0/13/06

Art Unit 3714

Page 5

rl